Ethical considerations in implementing a biometric co-enrolment prevention system in clinical trials in South Africa
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Preventing co-enrolment in clinical trials ensures participant safety and data integrity. To facilitate co-enrolment checks, a novel biometric co-enrolment prevention system (BCEPS) was developed and implemented in 2010 by the HIV Prevention Research Unit (HPRU) in collaboration with the South African Medical Research Council’s (SAMRC) Information Technology Services Division. The use of this web-based system to capture participant’s identification details in real time was approved by the SAMRC Ethics Committee. BCEPS was implemented at 13 other research organisations conducting clinical trials in South Africa (SA). Participants who screened at the clinical research sites (CRSs) had their names, SA identity or passport number and fingerprints captured onto BCEPS after comprehensive education and discussion. This information was verified at all study visits. If a participant attempted to screen or co-enrol at multiple CRSs, the system flagged this as a potential co-enrolment. By addressing the ethical concerns around participant consent and rights, participant confidentiality and privacy, data security and access, and data management and storage, we were able to successfully implement BCEPS within the clinical trials conducted at HPRU, while adhering to the principles of good clinical practice (GCP), including respect for persons, beneficence and justice.
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Biometrics co-enrolment prevention system

The biometrics co-enrolment prevention system (BCEPS) is a novel approach used to prevent co-enrolment of research participants in multiple clinical trials in SA.23 This system was developed and implemented by the HPRU in collaboration with the SAMRC Information Technology (IT) Services Division and an external developer in 2010.24 This is a web-based system, which uses biometric technology to capture participant’s personal identification details in real time.25 In brief, this system is used to capture the participant’s full name, SA identity number or passport number (if not South African) and fingerprints. This information is used to determine if a participant has screened and/or enrolled at any other research site that is listed in the co-enrolment database. The system can also link studies which are pre-determined by the investigators, to allow co-enrolment.

BCEPS is currently utilised by 26 CRSs within 13 research organisations in SA, and to date has more than 26 500 participants registered on the system. All participating research organisations sign a memorandum of agreement (MOA) which is a legal agreement between the research organisation and SAMRC. On signing the MOA, the participating research organisations agree to abide by the terms and conditions applicable to the use of the
Regulatory oversight

Research involving humans must be scientifically sound and conducted in accordance with basic ethical principles as outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and by the Council for International Organisations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS): respect for persons, beneficence, and justice. Furthermore, SA has stringent ethical guidelines stipulated by the National Health Act (2003) and the SA Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines which ensure that the rights, wellbeing and safety of individuals participating in clinical trials are protected. In addition, clinical research trials must be approved by the local ethics committee. Rules and regulations stipulated by the National Health Act (2003) and the SA Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines ensure that the rights, wellbeing and safety of individuals participating in clinical trials are protected. Furthermore, SA has stringent ethical guidelines stipulated by the National Health Act (2003) and the SA Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines which ensure that the rights, wellbeing and safety of individuals participating in clinical trials are protected. In addition, clinical research trials must be approved by the local ethics committee. The following considerations were raised by the committee:

- If the fingerprint database will be kept indefinitely, please provide more and detailed information on the safety and security measures that will be taken to preserve confidentiality. Who will be accountable for this sensitive information? The committee asked for guidelines and standard operating procedures (SOPs) for the use of fingerprints to give them assurance.
- Separate consent should be added to the informed consent where the participant agrees to give their fingerprints to other researchers.
- If a participant refuses to give their fingerprints, will they be excluded from the study? Can a participant ask to withdraw their fingerprint data from the database?

Data security and access

To ensure that the system is secure and to prevent unauthorised access to the data, BCEPTs is password protected and has two levels of authentication as a security measure. To gain access to the system authorised and trained study staff are required to login to a Secure Socket Layer Virtual Private Network (SSL VPN) portal using a username and password and thereafter login again using their fingerprint in order to access the application. The SSL VPN creates an encrypted connection between the user's computer and the server. The BCEPTs server hosting BCEPTs is protected by a CISCO Systems Inc. firewall located in a demilitarised zone (DMZ). Fingerprints are stored as an encoded text string and not as an image and therefore cannot be copied or reverse engineered. No data, such as study identifiers or participant clinical data are stored locally, or on computers. When a participant is in attendance for a study visit at the CRS, their fingerprint is scanned to check if they are currently enrolled on the system. The system alerts the user if a co-enrolment is detected in another study or at another site. The researcher then has the option to contact the investigator from the other site and/or study to discuss the participant's current status in the study in order to assess if it is safe to proceed with study participation. This process contributes to participant safety and data integrity.

Data management and storage

Trained SAMRC IT staff members install BCEPTs at each participating research site. They ensure that the delegated CRS staff are trained on the system and provided with a data entry guide that contains instructions on the use of BCEPTs. Research staff then follow the site-specific standard operating procedures (SOPs) on the prevention of participant co-enrolment.

Staff members who experience technical problems with BCEPTs are encouraged to contact the SAMRC IT Services Division that subsequently resolves all system related issues in a timeous manner. Data for each participant are maintained on the system for up to 15 years but can be removed by the SAMRC IT if requested by the participant. The data are backed up daily on the server and are also duplicated on the SAMRC disaster recovery site every night. Should internet connectivity be lost at site, there is an option to connect to the server using a dedicated laptop via 3G.
Quality assurance

Quality checks at the CRSs include verifying that the participant’s correct name and identity number, as per the SA identity document, has been captured. Daily checks are performed to ensure that no participant has inadvertently been omitted from BCEPS. If a participant presenting at a research site is not captured on the system due to human error, this could have a huge impact on participant safety and data integrity.

Conclusions and recommendations

Ethically, researchers must protect the rights, safety and wellbeing of participants. It is therefore important for each research organisation to develop a co-enrolment prevention plan or SOP which identifies all potential sources of co-enrolment and implements measures to prevent co-enrolment from occurring. To identify potential sources of co-enrolment, research organisations must engage with each other regularly as well as with all stakeholders and community structures to ensure that there is awareness of all investigational products undergoing clinical trials in the country. More importantly, CRSs within close geographical proximity must maintain open dialogue on their respective research agendas.

Real-time verification of the enrolment status of participants using BCEPS is valuable to prevent co-enrolment. However, its utility is limited if all clinical research organisations conducting clinical trials in SA do not subscribe to one co-enrolment prevention system. By addressing the ethical concerns around participant consent and rights, participant confidentiality and privacy, data security and access, and data management and storage, we were able to successfully implement BCEPS at HPRU. There have been no concerns raised by participants or communities regarding its use in our setting.

Since SA will continue to conduct many large-scale trials, especially in the area of HIV prevention and treatment, it is essential that research organisations engage in robust dialogue on the potential ethical and safety concerns of co-enrolment and implement the use of a single shared database in the country to prevent co-enrolment in multiple studies.
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